

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,182	07/08/2003	Jong Hoon Kim	123034-05004928	7113	
43569	43569 7590 07/28/2005				
MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP 1909 K STREET, N.W.			GHYKA, ALE	GHYKA, ALEXANDER G	
	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2812		
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/200	DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/614,182	KIM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alexander G. Ghyka	2812		

, =	Lammer	Airoille				
	Alexander G. Ghyka	2812				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATE	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-11.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			• •			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).				
13. Other: As Hong reference discloses a dielectric layer, the titanium nitride, silicon oxynitride and silicon nitride would be obvious as they are notoriously well known dielectrics. Claims limited to "organic anti-reflection coating of an amorphous carbon series or an						
inorganic antireflection coating "would be allowable.						
	PRIMARY	DER GHYKA EXAMINER				
	A. 1	281211/				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 07182005